



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_5619
Title of the Manuscript:	Impacts of Labor and Capital on Manufacturing Production Function
Type of the Article	Short research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	"Abstract " should include the message for the readers to understand article. Please rewrite the contents for the readers to understand whole paper. While reading Introduction, this paper seems me to deal with annuity, but it is not clear. "Conclusion " includes the points made clear through the paper and it is not clear. Please add more information. "Introduction" should include the explanation of previous paper and explain the value of this paper comparing with other papers.	"Abstract" has been revised by including the highlighted portions. "Conclusion" has been revised by including the highlighted portions. "Introduction" has been revised by including the highlighted portions.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author mentioned about "Cobb-Douglas function". There must be another type of functions, so please add the information why "Cobb-Douglas function" is selected. Figures, unit is not clear Figure 1 , 2 and 6 are not clear Figure 3, please explain the "contents of line". Lack of explanation. Figure 5, font is not clear	The reviewer' comments were taken into consideration, and they were accordingly completely addressed in the manuscript as highlighted.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		